Portland Harbor Superfund Site

Presentation
December 4, 2018
Sheryl Bilbrey, USEPA Region 10





Table of Contents

- Decision Tree Technology Selection and Flexibility
- Remedial Action Areas
- Sequencing of Site-Wide Remedial Design
- Remedial Design Investigations
- Horizontal and Vertical Delineation of SMAs During Remedial Design
- Remedial Design Issues
- Capping without Dredging
- Alternative/Other Remedial Technologies
- River Banks
- Remedial Design Administrative Structure



Decision Tree Technology Selection and Flexibility



Decision ⁻	Tree ⁻	Technol	oav S	election	and Flexibility
			- 37 -		

Decision Tree Technology Selection and Flexibility					
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response				
Will the FS decision trees be used moving	The ROD decision tree (ROD Appendix I,				
forward? Or were they superseded by the	Figure 28) supersedes the FS decision				
ROD decision tree (Figure 28)?	trees.				



Decision ⁻	Tree ⁻	Technolo	oav Se	election	and F	lexibility
			- 37			

Decision Tree Technology Selection an	nd Flexibility
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
Where is the flexibility in the ROD	Capping and/or dredging will be used in
decision tree to consider site-specific	areas that exceed the RALs for the
characteristics in assigning an appropriate	focused COCs or PTW thresholds
technology?	(Appendix II, Table 21). The flexibilities
	related to capping and dredging design
	requirements are described in ROD
	Section 14.2.9. Site-specific conditions,
	such as but not limited to, navigation and
	land use information, whether structures
	are present, and what type of slope exists
	or may result from cleanup will inform
	technology selection and remedial design
	in the SMAs.



Remedial Action Areas



Remedial Action Areas	
Question	EPA Response
If the SMAs outlined in the ROD will be	As specified in the ROD and ROD
revised based on newly collected data,	decision tree (Appendix I, Figure 28),
where will remediation occur?	capping and/or dredging will occur in
	SMAs, which are areas exceeding the
	RALs for the focused COCs or the PTW
	thresholds (Appendix II, Table 21) as
	determined through updated sampling
	and analysis during RD. ENR will occur in
	areas of Swan Island Lagoon not
	addressed by dredging or capping, unless
	those areas have recovered naturally.
	MNR will be used to achieve the final
	cleanup levels outside of actively
	remediated areas.



Remedial Action Areas	
If newly collected data indicate that SMAs are different than those presented in the ROD, is an ESD required to complete RD/RA?	EPA Response An ESD would not be necessary because the ROD anticipated that the horizontal and vertical extent of the SMAs (defined by RAL and PTW exceedances) would be refined based on data collected during RD.



Remedial Action Areas	
Question	EPA Response
How many of the 1,774 acres that EPA is	The 2018 Pre-RD Group PDI and
allowing to recover naturally are already	Baseline Sampling data will provide an
below cleanup goals?	updated estimate of how many of the
	1,774 acres designated for natural
	recovery are below ROD cleanup levels
	(Appendix II, Table 17). Future long-term
	monitoring will be used to monitor the
	progress of the remedy toward achieving
	the RAOs established in the ROD.



Sequencing of Site-Wide Remedial Design



Sequencing of Site-Wide Remedial Design

Question

Do concerns regarding upstream to downstream migration of contaminants suggest that RD of downstream areas should occur after RD/RA of upstream areas?

EPA Response Remedy sequencing will consider the potential for recontamination of remediated areas by upstream contamination or remedial activities. Areas most prone to potential recontamination are those with the highest degree of proximity and connectedness to unremediated areas or remedial actions. For example, contaminant migration is more likely to affect neighboring downstream areas and less likely to affect areas across the river channel or of significant distance away. Generally, when areas are in close, direct communication, sequencing will be done in an upstream to downstream manner and/or prioritizing areas with the heaviest contamination. However, concurrent Site-wide RD will not be substantially affected by concerns regarding the migration and redeposition of contaminated sediments as many SMAs are significant distances from each other or located off the main stem of the river (where resuspended contaminants are subject to less downstream flow).



Sec	ıuencina	of Site-	Wide	Remedial	Desian

Question
Will areas of the Site exceeding RALs be able to delay RD until more contaminated upstream areas are successfully remediated?

EPA Response EPA believes it is important for all areas to initiate the RD process and begin collecting the higher-density, site-specific remedial design data. While it is recognized that the dynamic character of the Willamette River may change surface sediment contaminant concentrations over time, it is less likely that the contamination at depth will change substantially. The completion of concurrent Site-wide RD will allow for effective sequencing of cap and dredge construction to minimize recontamination of these constructed areas.



Sequencing	of Site-Wide Remedial	Design
l Godaci ionig	or one tride itemiediai	

Sequencing of Site-Wide Remedial De	sign
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
Will areas of the Site exceeding RALs be	As specified in the ROD and ROD
able to perform data gaps sampling to	decision tree (Appendix I, Figure 28),
assess MNR without completing the full	capping and/or dredging will occur in all
RD process?	areas exceeding RALs or PTW thresholds
	(Appendix II, Table 21). Generally, EPA
	expects these areas within the Site will
	need to undergo the full RD process.
	Natural recovery of surface sediment
	COCs will be monitored in the future by
	replicating the 2018 non-biased sediment
	sampling program.



Remedial Design Investigations



Remedial Design Investigations	
Question	EPA Response
Will the 2018 Pre-RD Group PDI and	Yes, the 2018 Pre-RD Group PDI and
Baseline Sampling data be considered	Baseline Sampling data will be considered
during RD?	in RD and should be used to inform
	additional site-specific data collection
	needs during the full RD process.



Remedial Design Investigations	
Question	EPA Response
Will additional characterization be needed beyond the 2018 Pre-RD Group sampling?	Data needs in any given area are a site-specific determination. For example, areas may need higher resolution sampling of the horizontal and vertical extent of contamination, and additional information on current and anticipated future land/waterway use, structures, habitat, and flood storage.



EPA Response
EPA is working with Oregon DEQ to ensure that issues with source control are addressed prior to and during the RD process. During design, EPA will require a source control sufficiency assessment to evaluate whether potential sources of recontamination have been adequately investigated and controlled or considered such that the remedial action can proceed. The sufficiency assessment will include an upland evaluation of pathways to the river through direct discharges, groundwater, river bank, and overwater to ensure that upland sources have been controlled. The assessment will also evaluate potential in-water sources of recontamination including the resuspension of bedded sediments.



Horizontal and Vertical Delineation of SMAs During Remedial Design



Horizontal and Vertical Delineation of SMAs During Remedial Design

<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
The first decision box on Figure 28:	The extent of sediment concentrations
Technology Application Decision Tree	exceeding RALs for the ROD focused
requires a determination of whether one is	COCs must be defined laterally and
"Within SMA (See Note 1)". Note 1 states	vertically throughout the area of
"Contamination is defined in three	contamination. This three-dimensional
dimensions." In this context, what does it	information is used to define the extent of
mean that contamination is defined in	the SMAs and for application of the
three dimensions?	decision tree to guide the assignment of
	capping and dredging technologies. Data
	gaps on the lateral and vertical extent of
	contamination will be addressed during
	site-specific design investigations.



Horizontal and	Vertical Delineation	of SMAs During	Remedial Design

i ionzoniai and vertical Delineation of C	Divirio During Nomedial Design
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
How will the vertical extent of	The vertical extent of contamination will
contamination be determined?	be determined by collecting subsurface
	sediment cores and sampling them in 1-
	foot intervals. Previously collected data
	and the conceptual site model will be
	used to determine the depth of sediment
	cores required. The 1-foot intervals will
	allow for finer resolution of the
	contamination that is present, which will
	reduce the uncertainty of the vertical
	extent of COCs above RALs, improving
	technology selection and design.



Horizontal and Vertical Delineation of SMAs During Remedial Design

Horizontal and Vertical Delineation of S	swas bulling Remedial Design
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
If surface sediment concentrations are	Whether an area is within an SMA is
below RALs, but there are RAL	dependent on the depth of RAL
exceedances at depth, is one within an	exceedances. Site-specific conditions
SMA or not?	based on data collected during RD will be
	considered to refine dredging and cap
	design. For a protective cleanup, this
	determination must consider the long-term
	potential for exposure to subsurface
	sediment contamination.



Horizontal and Vertical Delineation of SMAs During Remedial Design

Honzontal and Vertical Delineation of 3	bivias Duffing Refficulat Design
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
Is there a minimum depth of sediment	Site-specific information developed during
with concentrations below RALs which	RD will be required to determine whether
would make exceedances at depth	it is reasonable to anticipate that
irrelevant? For example, if there are two	contamination at depth will not be
feet of clean sediment over sediment	exposed in the future and therefore, can
exceeding RALs, is dredging still	be left in place.
prescribed? Five feet?	



Remedial Design Issues



Remedial Design Issues	
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
Dredging may generate slope failure. Do	Slope stability analyses will need to be
the design requirements in Section 14.2.9	performed to address these site-specific
allow for consideration of the slope of the	conditions.
sediment bed in dredging design?	



	D .	
LPamadiai	LIACIAN	ICCLIAC
Remedial		199069

Do the design requirements in Section
14.2.9 allow for consideration of whether
an area is depositional in assigning an
appropriate technology? Will deposition
be considered in RD?

Question

EPA Response As specified in the ROD and ROD decision tree (Appendix I, Figure 28), capping and/or dredging will occur in all areas exceeding RALs or PTW thresholds (Appendix II, Table 21). However, sediment deposition as well as but not limited to impacts from propwash scour, extreme flood events, and wind- and vessel-generated waves will be considered during RD. These data will inform cap design and future cap monitoring.



Remedial Design Issues	
Question	EPA Response
Do the design requirements in Section	Physical characteristics of the sediment
14.2.9 allow for consideration of the	bed, including the presence of
presence of rock/cobble/bedrock in	rock/cobble/bedrock, will be considered in
assigning an appropriate technology? Will	technology selection and RD.
the presence of hard substrate bottoms	
be considered in RD?	



Remedial Design Issues	
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
Do the design requirements in Section	As stated in ROD Section 14.2.9.1,
14.2.9 allow for consideration of the	additional requirements may be
impact of dredging on habitat areas?	determined during RD and in coordination
	with NMFS and USFWS to comply with
	ARARs.



Remedial Design Issues

<u> </u>
The definition of structures in Figure 28
does not appear to be very flexible and is
not particularly consistent with dock
ownership and uses at various properties.
How are such site-specific uses to be
addressed given the ROD Figure 28
decision tree's lack of recognition of such
issues?

Question

Additional factors regarding site structures may be considered in the RD information, as appropriate. Current and future land uses, ownership, flood storage/rise, habitat creation, and the vertical extent of contamination all need to be considered in the RD.

EPA Response



Capping without Dredging



Capping without Dredging	
Question Under what scenario would capping without pre-dredging be allowed in the intermediate depth region?	EPA Response Current and future land uses, flood storage/rise, habitat creation, slope stability, and the vertical extent of contamination all need to be considered to determine whether capping without pre-
	dredging will be allowed in the intermediate depth region.



Capping without Dredging

<u> </u>
ROD Section 14.2.3 states that, "the
elevation of the top of the cap or residual
layer will be no higher than the pre-design
elevation" which appears to preclude the
option of increasing the valuable shallow
water habitat as part of remedial action. Is
that EPA's intent?

Question

It is not EPA's intent to limit shallow water habitat; however, avoiding or minimizing impacts to the floodway need to be considered in conjunction with habitat creation. Furthermore, site-specific cap designs will require review by NMFS, USFWS, and others and may be modified

to improve aquatic habitat.

EPA Response



Alternative/Other Remedial Technologies



	Alternative/	Other	Remedial	Technologies
--	--------------	-------	----------	---------------------

Alternative/Other Remedial Technologi	es
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
Why are alternative/other remedial	Capping and dredging were determined
technologies, such as in-situ treatment	during the FS to achieve the greatest and
and ENR, not included for potential use	most permanent risk reductions for the
within SMAs on the Technology	most contaminated sediments, which are
Application Decision Tree (Figure 28)?	in SMAs. Therefore, the use of alternative
	remedial technologies can only be applied
	in areas below RALs and PTW
	thresholds.



Alternative/Other Remedial Technologies

Question

If supported by available data, will EPA accept alternate technologies specified in the ROD design requirements for areas exceeding RALs but below PTW thresholds? If there is a lot of deposition, can one make the demonstration that partial dredge and cap, ENR, or MNR is appropriate for an area exceeding RALs – would this be acceptable?

EPA Response

As specified in the ROD and ROD decision tree (Appendix I, Figure 28), capping and/or dredging will occur in all areas exceeding RALs or PTW thresholds (Appendix II, Table 21). However, alternate technologies such as in-situ treatment and ENR may be considered for use in areas below RALs on a site-specific basis.



River Banks



River Banks	
Question	EPA Response
How is the top of bank defined (elevation, abrupt change in slope angle, other)?	Defining the top of the bank is site-specific and is visually determined based on the angle of the slope towards the river. Additional guidance will be provided in a river bank guidance document that EPA is developing.



River Banks	
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
Does the ROD allow flexibility for river bank capping with materials other than vegetation with beach mix?	Selection of river bank cap materials will be based on site-specific considerations addressed under design. River bank source control and containment to meet the RAOs will be considered on a site-specific basis during RD.



River Banks

Question Does the ROD allow for flexibility to consider the net benefit to overall habitat and function resulting from combined river bank remediation and shallow region inwater remediation? For example, would EPA consider relaxing the shallow region requirement that "the elevation of the top of the cap or residual layer will be no higher than the pre-design elevation" if concurrent river bank remediation would result in a net benefit to habitat?

EPA Response

The question is hypothetical and needs to be supported by site-specific design data. Habitat elements of the design will be determined in coordination with NMFS, USFWS, and others. Based on sitespecific factors, it may not be possible to obtain the optimal river bank. However, it might be possible to fill in some areas without affecting the floodway. Primary concerns include not affecting or mitigating impacts to the floodway due to habitat creation.



River Banks	
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
	EPA Response The need for river bank remediation will depend on design sampling data and site-specific conditions (e.g., nature of the bank, land and waterway use, etc.). Additional guidance will be provided in a river bank guidance document that EPA is developing.



River Banks	
Question	EPA Response
Would river bank remediation be required	This is a hypothetical question that
if source control measures such as	depends on what is developed and
erosion and storm water control are in	presented in the design package for a
place?	specific area. The status of source control
	measures to address bank erosion and
	stormwater discharges relative to the
	RAOs will be considered during design.
	During design, EPA will require a source
	control sufficiency assessment to evaluate
	whether potential sources of
	recontamination have been adequately
	investigated and controlled or considered
	such that the remedial action can
	proceed.



River Banks			
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response		
Can additional sampling and analysis	Additional sampling and analysis are a		
(e.g., chemical	component of design and would provide		
testing, slope stability, etc.) be performed	information as part of an overall design		
to modify the areas targeted for river bank	package that could possibly modify the		
remediation on ROD Figure 9?	area targeted for remediation on ROD		
	Figure 9. Additional guidance will be		
	provided in a river bank guidance		
	document that EPA is developing.		



Remedial Design Administrative Structure



Remedial Design Administrative Structi	ure
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
Is RD directly coupled with RA through a	Under the Superfund statute, who

Is RD directly coupled with RA through a consent agreement with EPA?

Under the Superfund statute, when parties perform RA it must be done under a judicial consent decree or unilateral administrative order. RD can be done under one of these mechanisms also or under an administrative settlement and order on consent. Generally, EPA likes to combine RD and RA under a consent decree.



Remedial Design Administrative Struct	ure
<u>Question</u>	EPA Response
What is the agreement/consent structure	EPA has agreed to postpone issuance of
that EPA is seeking to perform RD?	Special Notice Letters to initiate Consent
	Decree negotiations until December 2019
	to allow for completion of the allocation
	process. However, in the interim, EPA is
	looking for RD to move forward Site-wide
	through administrative settlements.
	Currently, RD is occurring under
	administrative settlements and orders on
	consent at the GASCO, River Mile 11E,
	and Port of Portland Terminal 4 Project
	Areas. EPA would like to be moving RD
	forward on all the SMA areas.